



Mundeshwari College for Teacher Education

Srari-Usri Road khagaul, Patna

Affiliated to Aryabhatta Knowledge University, Patna

1.4.2

Stakeholder Feedback Analysis

(Teacher's feedback on curriculum)



Mundeshwari College for Teacher Education

Srari-Usri Road khagaul, Patna

Analysis report of Teacher's feedback on curriculum.

S.No.	QUESTION	EXCELENT	GOOD	SATISFACTORY	POOR
1	Syllabus is suitable to the course and need based.	82	13	5	0
2	The course/ syllabus have good balance between theory and application or practical.	83	11	6	0
3	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	85	10	5	0
4	The curriculum has the potential in developing the self-learning among the students.	87	7	1	0
5	The curriculum has focus on skill development.	83	10	6	1
6	The book prescribed/listed as reference material is relevant, update and appropriate.	84	12	4	0
7	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	81	11	8	0
8	Is the subject/ syllabus interesting for majority of students?	84	12	4	0
9	Does the syllabus cover modern & advanced topics?	79	17	4	0
10	Is the syllabus designed for the preparation of competitive examination?	81	19	0	0
11	Is the syllabus designed in the way to improve employment?	84	9	7	0

The feedback of curriculum was collected from the student based on four point scale: Excellent, Good, Satisfactory and poor.

1. The syllabus is suitable to the course and need based was rated by 82% of teacher was excellent, 13% of teacher rated good, 5% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
2. The course/ syllabus have good balance between theory and application or practical was rated by 83% of teacher was excellent, 11% of teacher rated good, 6% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.

3. The system followed by the University Design and development of curriculum is effective was rated by 85% of teacher was excellent, 10% of teacher rated good, 5% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
4. The curriculum has the potential in developing the self-learning among the students was rated by 87% of teacher was excellent, 7% of teacher rated good, 1% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
5. The curriculum has focus on skill development was rated by 83% of teacher was excellent, 10% of teacher rated good, 6% of the teacher rated satisfactory and 1% of them rated poor.
6. The book prescribed/listed as reference material is relevant, update and appropriate was rated by 84% of teacher was excellent, 12% of teacher rated good, 4% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
7. The curriculum has been updated from time to time was rated by 81% of teacher was excellent, 11% of teacher rated good, 8% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
8. Is the subject/ syllabus interesting for majority of students was rated by 84% of teacher was excellent, 12% of teacher rated good, 4% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
9. Does the syllabus cover modern & advanced topics was rated by 79% of teacher was excellent, 17% of teacher rated good, 4% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
10. Is the syllabus designed for the preparation of competitive examination was rated by 81% of teacher was excellent, 19% of teacher rated good, none of them rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.
11. Is the syllabus designed in the way to improve employment was rated by 84% of teacher was excellent, 9% of teacher rated good, 7% of the teacher rated satisfactory and none of them rated poor.

